1 Douglas J. Dixon, State Bar No. 275389 Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199) ddixon@hueston.com paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com 2 **HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP** FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH 620 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1300 3 LLP Newport Beach, CA 92660 Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor Telephone: (949) 229-8640 4 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 591-7500 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Match Group, LLC; 5 Humor Rainbow, Inc.; PlentyofFish Media ULC; and People Media, Inc. 6 Christine A. Varney (pro hac vice) cvarney@cravath.com 7 CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP 825 Eighth Avenue 8 New York, New York 10019 9 Telephone: (212) 474-1000 10 Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in Epic 11 Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al. 12 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 16 17 Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD 18 IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE ANTITRUST LITIGATION 19 [PROPOSED] ORDER RE EPIC AND THE MATCH PLAINTIFFS' THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: 20 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE Epic Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 21 UNDER SEAL 3:20-cv-05671-JD 22 In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation, Judge: Honorable James Donato 23 Case No. 3:20-cy-05761-JD 24 State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al., Case No. 3:21-cy-05227-JD 25 Match Group, LLC et al. v. Google LLC et al., 26 Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD 27 28

[PROPOSED] ORDER RE EPIC AND MATCH'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL Case Nos. 3:21-md-02981-JD; 3:22-cv-02746-JD; 3:20-cv-05671-JD; 3:20-cv-05761-JD; 3:21-cv-05227-JD

Having considered Epic's and the Match Plaintiffs' Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Portions of Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Declaration of Justin R. Raphael in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* No. 2 ("Raphael Declaration") and portions of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* No. 2, (MDL Dkt. No. 637), and any materials submitted in support thereto, pursuant to Local Rules 7-11 and 79-5;

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

The following portions of Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Justin R. Raphael in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* No. 2, (MDL Dkt. No. 637), may be filed under seal:

| <b>Portion of Document</b> | Reasons for Sealing                                   | Ruling |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Sought to be Sealed        |                                                       |        |
| Exhibit 1 to the           | The document sought to be redacted contains non-      |        |
| Raphael Declaration        | party personally identifiable information, including  |        |
| in Support of              | the name of an Epic customer, the name of that        |        |
| Google's Opposition        | customer's minor son, and the Epic customer's         |        |
| to Plaintiffs' Motion      | email address. Compelling reasons exist to seal       |        |
| in Limine No. 2.           | this personal, non-party information to protect the   |        |
|                            | privacy of the customer and his minor son and to      |        |
| Page ending -129 (the      | protect them from an increased risk of identity theft |        |
| content of the "From:"     | and of being contacted or harassed about this         |        |
| line, containing an        | litigation. This customer information has minimal     |        |
| email address; the         | relevance to the underlying cause of action, and the  |        |
| entire line, containing    | redactions to the document are narrowly tailored.     |        |
| two names, that comes      | Accordingly, the public's interest in access to court |        |
| after the line             | records will not be seriously affected by this        |        |
| beginning "Many            | redaction.                                            |        |
| thanks"; the name          |                                                       |        |
| between "Hi" and           |                                                       |        |
| "Thank you"; the           |                                                       |        |
| name following "Hi",       |                                                       |        |
| following the line         |                                                       |        |
| beginning "November        |                                                       |        |
| 13, 2019")                 |                                                       |        |
|                            |                                                       |        |
| Page ending -130 (the      |                                                       |        |
| name following the         |                                                       |        |

| line beginning "Kind |  |
|----------------------|--|
| regards")            |  |

The following portions of Exhibit 2 to the Declaration of Justin R. Raphael in Support of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion in Limine No. 2, (MDL Dkt. No. 637), may be filed under seal:

| <b>Portion of Document</b> | Reasons for Sealing                                   | Ruling |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Sought to be Sealed        |                                                       |        |
| Page ending -739           | Declaration of Ian Purves ¶ 6:                        |        |
| (between "2. On POF"       |                                                       |        |
| and "4. Lastly")           | Match Plaintiffs consider the retention and renewal   |        |
|                            | rates on Match Plaintiffs' dating services to be      |        |
|                            | confidential and proprietary business information,    |        |
|                            | which would give Match Plaintiffs' competitors        |        |
|                            | insights into potential vulnerabilities within and    |        |
|                            | general information on user retention regarding       |        |
|                            | Match Plaintiffs' services. Similarly, Match          |        |
|                            | Plaintiffs' assessment of the positive (or negative)  |        |
|                            | future value of subscriptions on a particular         |        |
|                            | platform (iOS or Android) is competitively            |        |
|                            | sensitive information that Match Plaintiffs'          |        |
|                            | competitors could use to compete with Match           |        |
|                            | Plaintiffs more effectively and place Match           |        |
|                            | Plaintiffs at a competitive disadvantage. Moreover,   |        |
|                            | revealing this data publicly can be misleading for    |        |
|                            | investors and other parties and lead to inappropriate |        |
|                            | inferences about this information and other parts of  |        |
|                            | Match Group, Inc.'s portfolio of business.            |        |
| Page ending -740           | Declaration of Ian Purves ¶ 6:                        |        |
| (between                   |                                                       |        |
| "unfortunately" and        | Match Plaintiffs consider the retention and renewal   |        |
| end of paragraph)          | rates on Match Plaintiffs' dating services to be      |        |
|                            | confidential and proprietary business information,    |        |
|                            | which would give Match Plaintiffs' competitors        |        |
|                            | insights into potential vulnerabilities within and    |        |
|                            | general information on user retention regarding       |        |
|                            | Match Plaintiffs' services. Similarly, Match          |        |
|                            | Plaintiffs' assessment of the positive (or negative)  |        |
|                            | future value of subscriptions on a particular         |        |
|                            | platform (iOS or Android) is competitively            |        |
|                            | 2                                                     |        |

|   | 1 |
|---|---|
|   | 2 |
|   | 3 |
|   | 4 |
|   | 5 |
|   |   |
|   | 6 |
|   | 7 |
|   | 8 |
|   | 9 |
| 1 | 0 |
| 1 |   |
| 1 |   |
|   |   |
| 1 | 3 |
| 1 | 4 |
| 1 | 5 |
| 1 | 6 |
| 1 | 7 |
| 1 | 8 |
| 1 | 9 |
| 2 | 0 |
| 2 | 1 |
| 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 2 | 6 |
| 2 | 7 |
|   |   |

28

| sensitive information that Match Plaintiffs' |  |
|----------------------------------------------|--|
| competitors could use to compete with Match  |  |
| Plaintiffs more effectively and place Match  |  |
| Plaintiffs at a competitive disadvantage.    |  |

The following portions of Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion *in Limine* No. 2, (MDL Dkt. No. 637), may be filed under seal:

| <b>Portion of Document</b> | Reasons for Sealing                                        | Ruling |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| Sought to be Sealed        |                                                            |        |
| Page 2, lines 16 – 20      | Declaration of Ian Purves ¶ 6:                             |        |
| (between "explained:"      |                                                            |        |
| and "Ex. 2" and within     | Match Plaintiffs consider the retention and renewal rates  |        |
| parenthetical)             | on Match Plaintiffs' dating services to be confidential    |        |
|                            | and proprietary business information, which would give     |        |
|                            | Match Plaintiffs' competitors insights into potential      |        |
|                            | vulnerabilities within and general information on user     |        |
|                            | retention regarding Match Plaintiffs' services. Similarly, |        |
|                            | Match Plaintiffs' assessment of the positive (or negative) |        |
|                            | future value of subscriptions on a particular platform     |        |
|                            | (iOS or Android) is competitively sensitive information    |        |
|                            | that Match Plaintiffs' competitors could use to compete    |        |
|                            | with Match Plaintiffs more effectively and place Match     |        |
|                            | Plaintiffs at a competitive disadvantage. Moreover,        |        |
|                            | revealing this data publicly can be misleading for         |        |
|                            | investors and other parties and lead to inappropriate      |        |
|                            | inferences about this information and other parts of       |        |
|                            | Match Group, Inc.'s portfolio of business.                 |        |

| DATED: |                              |
|--------|------------------------------|
|        | HON. JAMES DONATO            |
|        | United States District Judge |